ERRATA

No. RD 303 AQS 72, 17th July 1972.

Errata to the final notification No. RD 466 AQS 71 dated 18th December 1971 of Devarahalli village Bhadravathi taluk, published at page 193 part III-1 of the *Mysore Gazette*, dated 13th January 1972 acquired for I.T. Road from Kachagondanahalli to Devarahalli, the following corrections may be noted:—

For		Read	
Sl.No.	Extent.	Sl.No.	Extent.
3	0-62	3	0-02

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

B. P. KRISHNA,
1522 *Under Secretary to Government, R.D.*

ERRATUM

No. RD 44 AQY 72, dated 14th April 1972.

In the Notification No. RD 187 AQY 69, dated 23rd September 1969 Published in the *Mysore Gazette* dated 9th October 1969 vide page No. 4156, the following corrections may be noted.

SCHEDULE

District. Mandya Taluk Malavalli Hobli. Halagur Village. Nandipura

For			Read		
Sl. No.	Survey No.	Extent	Sl. No.	Survey No.	Extent
1	2	3	4	5	
		Nandipura			
17	14/7	0—5	17	14/4	0—5
		Kempiahna Doddi			
30	76/8	0—½	30	78/8	0—½
27	78/5	0—33	27	78/5	0—03

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

B. P. KRISHNA,
309 *Under Secretary to Government, R. D.*

CHIEF SECRETARIAT

(GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT)
(Integration)
Notification dated 1st/2nd Adgust 1972.

No. GAD 48 INS 72. The final Inter State Seniority list of the Ministerial Staff of the Commercial Taxes Depeartment as on 1st November 1956 has been published in Notification No. GAD 165 INS 68 dated 26th December 1968. In accordance with the decisions of the Government of India In category IV of the said list S/Shri S. G. Gokhale, N. T. Kavathekar and R.V. Bentur have been ranked at Sl. Nos. 124, 126 and 127 respectively. Being aggrieved by these ranks, they filed Write Petition Nos. 2124, 2125 and 2126 of 70 in the High Court of Mysore. The High Court disposed of this Write Petitions on 26th February 1971 with a direction (i) In Writ Petition Nos. 2124 2125 of 70, to reconsider the the cases of S/S. Shri N.T. Kavatekar and R.V. Bentur after giving an opportunity to the petitioners and such other persons as are likely to be affected by the ultimate decision of the Central Government to make representations; and (ii) In Waite Petition No. 2126 of 70, to examine the claim of Sri S. G. Gokhale regareing the correct date of his entry in the equated cadre and take appropriate steps to correct his ranking in the final I S S list, if found necessary, in the light of the decision upon facts.

2 As instructed by the Government of India, the Judgment of the Mysore High Court in writ Petition Nos. 2124 and 2125/70 was notified in Notification No. GAD. 44 INS 71 dated 30th April 1971 for submission of representations in terms of the directions of the High Court. The representations received in pursuance of the said Notification including that of Shri S.G. Gokhale submitted in pursuance of the direction of the High Court in Writ Petition No. 2126 of 70, were referred to the Government of India through the State Advisory Committee, for consideration and decision.

3 After considering the repesentations in consultation with the State Advisory Committee, the Government of India have tentatively decided as follows:—

(a) Shri Gokhale may be given Seniority on the basis of 31st December 1949 as his date of continuous officiation in the equated cadre and his seniority may be refixed in the final ISS list, accordingly after following the proper procedure; and

(b) as per the parent State Seniority decided by the erstwhile State of Bombay the Seniority of S/Shri S. G. Gokhale, G. K. Hegde, N. T. Kavathekar and R. V Bentur needs to be refixed at Sl. Nos. 77 (A), 77 (B), 77 (C), and 77 (D) respectively below the name of Sri M. Anantharamaiah at Sl. No. 77 and above the name of Shri H. S. Subramanyam at SlNo. 78 in the final ISS list the State Government may take necessary steps for suitably refixing the ranks of the aforesaid officials in order to maintain their parent State Seniority in the final ISS list, after following the prescribed procedure.

3 In view of the decision of the Government of India at (a) & (b) above, the seniority of Sri G. B. Shinde, whose date of continuous officiation in the equated cadre is dated 27th January 1950 has to be refixed as Sl.No. 81 A (i.e.,) immediately below Sri D.V. Narayana Rao at Sl. No. 81 to be and immedaitely above Sri V.N. Raichur Sl.No. 82, after deleting his name at Sl.No. 128.

4 It is accordingly proposed to refix the Seniority of S/Shri S.G. Gokhale, G. K. Hegde N. T. Kavathekar, R. V. Bentur and G. B. Shinde. Objections to this proposal if any, may be submitted in *Six Sets* addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Personnel, Cabinet Secretariat, North Block, New Delhi through the Commissioner for Commercil Taxes in Mysore, Bangalore, within one Month from the date of publication of this Notification in the *Mysore Gazette*.

By Order and in the Name of the Governor of Mysore,

B. P. PATIL,

Deputy Secretary to Government,
General Administration Department,
27 *(Integration).*

Notification dated 1st August 1972.

No. GAD 47 INS 72. The final Inter State Seniority List of the Non-Gazetted Officers of Publicity and Information Department has been published in accordance with the decisions of the Government of India in Part III-I of the *Mysore Gazette* dated 1st December 1966 under Notification No. GAD 181 INS 66 dated 2nd November 1966. In that list the name of Sri K. N. Bengeri has been ranked at Sl. No. 2 in Category V-Ministerial. Aggrieved by the equation of post and ranking Sri K. N. Bengeri filed a Writ Petition No. 1987/67 in the Mysore High Court. The High Court disposed of the Writ Petition on 8th June 1972. The High Court Judgment is annexed.

Representations from all those who are likely to be affected by the final decisions of the Government of India that may be taken with regard to the equation of the post held by Sri K. N. Bengeri as also his rank, may be submitted in six sets addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Personnel, Cabinet Secretariat, North Block, New Delhi,-1, through the Director of Information and Tourism in Mysore, Bangalore *within one month* from the date of publication of this Notification in the *Mysore Gazette*.

By Order and in the Name of the Governor of Mysore

B. P. PATIL,

26 *Dy. Secy. to Govt. Genl. Admn. Dept.*
(Integration).

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Dated the 8th Day of June 1972.

PRESENT.

The Hon'ble MR. A. Narayana Pai, Chief Justice.

AND

The Hon'ble MR. Justice S. R. Range Gowda writ Petition No. 1987 of 67.

Krishnarao Narayanarao Bengeri, OOD. Asst. Secretary, Boundary Consultative Committee, Bangalore-1. Govt. of Mysore, Vidhana Soudha.

Petitioner.

(By Sri K. S. Savanur).

Vs.

1 The Union of India by its Home Secretary, New Delhi.

2 The State of Mysore by its Chief Secretary, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

3 D. Narayana Rao, 283, 7th Block Jayanagar Bangalore.

4 C. V. Iype, Assistant Director of Publicity, Information Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

... *Respondents.*

(By Sri B. Ramachandra Rao, for Respt-1,
By Sri D. B. Mandappa, High Court Government, pleader for Respt.—2, others not present).

Writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with an affidavit praying that in the circumstances stated therein this Court may be pleased to quash the orders of Respondent No. 2 in No. GAD 181 INS 66 dated 2nd November 1966 published in *Mysore Gazette* dated 1st December 1966 etc.

This writ Petition coming on for hearing this day, Chief Justice, made the following:—

ORDER

The petitioner, who is a Stenographer allotted from the erstwhile State of Bombay to new State of Mysore complains against the rejection of his representation to equate his post with that of the Chief Reporter in the Department of Publicity and Information.

2 As the equations have been maintained without difference in both the provisional and final ISS list and the petitioner placed in Category V, whereas the Chief Reporter had been placed in the higher category No. IV and the petitioner had also full opportunity to make representations and in fact did so, the only point for consideration is whether has been a clear application of the four principles governing the formaulation of equations in the manner discussed in the Judgment of this Court in Dharmaraj V. Union of Indis, 1970 (2) Mysore Law Journal 184.